

Update

14 messages

Wed, Sep 20, 2017 at 7:52 PM

To: "Peter C. Ho" <peter.ho@alumni.stanford.edu>, Shan-Yuan Ho <shanyuan@gmail.com>, Della Lau

<DellaLau@launet.com>

Cc: "Daniel E. Lassen" <dlassen@ayhmh.com>, Carol Loza <cloza@ayhmh.com>

All -

Our process server attempted service at 229 Fulton this afternoon without success, but someone was there. The individual identified himself as an appraiser. This suggests that the buyer has a lender, so we can probably expect that we have a financed sale rather than all-cash. The process server was to return to the residence tonight, and return tomorrow if necessary to serve Debby. The process server also extracted from the appraiser that it appeared there was food in the house, which suggests that Debbie is living there.

I will keep you posted.

Best,

John

John D. Minton



350 Primrose Road Burlingame, CA 94010 www.andersonyazdi.com **650.212.5900** 650.212.5999 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Shan-Yuan Ho <shanyuan@gmail.com>

To: John Minton < jminton@ayhmh.com>

Wed, Sep 20, 2017 at 8:38 PM

Cc: "Peter C. Ho" <peter.ho@alumni.stanford.edu>, Della Lau <DellaLau@launet.com>, "Daniel E. Lassen" <dlassen@ayhmh.com>, Carol Loza <cloza@ayhmh.com>

John, we have an update.

The 1.1 million cashier's check receipt from dad to Debby located in Dad/Financial/229 Fulton and I attach again in this email.

E-MAIL 0065

The upper left hand corner in Debby's Chinese writing translates to "Ho loan receipt."

Thus, in her own words, she acknowledges that the 1.1 million is a loan from James Ho.

The bottom write hand corner Chinese writing says the bank (hers) where the funds are deposited to,

Is this admissible evidence in court?

Of course later she coerces our dad to say it is a gift and forces John Martin on him, etc.

My guess is that something similar happened with the Los Angeles house. The transfer of title occurred in April 2006. A month later

in May 2006 our dad went to get an MRI of his head because he was feeling awful for some time. He had a conversation with Peter and

showed Peter the MRI scan of a meningioma in his brain. I believe Debby manipulated him to buy the LA house because her daughter was there and she wanted the house inconvenient enough for our dad, so that he would hand it over to her with some small payout. We are quite certain she still owes him for the LA house, but that amount will eventually (just like the 1.2 million) be called "he wanted to give it to me."

-SYH

[Quoted text hidden]



Cashier's Check \$1.1M.pdf 7146K

John Minton <jminton@ayhmh.com>

Wed, Sep 20, 2017 at 9:27 PM

To: Shan-Yuan Ho <shanyuan@gmail.com>

Cc: "Peter C. Ho" <peter.ho@alumni.stanford.edu>, Della Lau <DellaLau@launet.com>, "Daniel E. Lassen" <dlassen@ayhmh.com>, Carol Loza <cloza@ayhmh.com>

Shan-Yuan, this is damning evidence. Is it absolutely admissible. She may claim that (1) the handwriting is a forgery, or (2) he later changed his mind. We can use a handwriting expert to address the first claim. Given your father's cognition level at the time, claim (2) will be very difficult for Debby to prevail upon.

My current thinking is that we stay away from asserting that this was a loan, however. Instead, we basically treat it as theft – she manipulated your father into parting with his money. It was neither a gift nor loan. It was akin to her stealing it. When she contends it was a gift, we can slam her with the loan receipt. Even then, however, I don't think our position is that it was ever a loan. Based on the evidence I have seen, your dad was in no position to be making loans at this time. He was incapable of weighing the pros and cons. He was taken advantage of, plain and simple.

We continue to work on the LA home claim. This additional information is helpful.

Best,

John

[Quoted text hidden]

Shan-Yuan Ho <shanyuan@gmail.com>

Mon, Sep 25, 2017 at 4:16 AM

To: John Minton <jminton@ayhmh.com>

Hi John,



Peter Ho <peter.ho@gmail.com>

"Loan" written on cashier's check

3 messages

John Minton jminton@ayhmh.com>

Wed, Jul 11, 2018 at 12:36 PM

To: "Shan-Yuan Ho (大姐)" <shanyuan@gmail.com>, "Peter C. Ho" <peter.ho@alumni.stanford.edu>, "Della N. Lau" <dellalau@launet.com>

Dear all -

I'm looking at the cashier's check on which Debby wrote "loan." Can you tell me what all the writing says, both in the upper left and in the bottom right?

Thanks,

John

John D. Minton



350 Primrose Road Burlingame, CA 94010 www.andersonyazdi.com 650.212.5900 650.212.5999 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Shan-Yuan Ho <shanyuan@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 11, 2018 at 5:37 PM

To: John Minton <jminton@ayhmh.com>

Cc: "Peter C. Ho" <peter.ho@alumni.stanford.edu>, "Della N. Lau" <dellalau@launet.com>

The answer was provided in detail back in September 20, 2017. I will forward the emails and your response in the next 2 following emails.

-SYH

[Quoted text hidden]

John Minton John Minton jminton@ayhmh.com>

Wed, Jul 11, 2018 at 9:19 PM

To: Shan-Yuan Ho <shanyuan@gmail.com>

Cc: "Peter C. Ho" <peter.ho@alumni.stanford.edu>, "Della N. Lau" <dellalau@launet.com>

Shan-Yuan, I know. I am trying to be efficient and not go back through to find details like this. But if you'd prefer that I do so and not trouble you, please advise.

John D. Minton



350 Primrose Road Burlingame, CA 94010 www.andersonyazdi.com 650.212.5900 650.212.5999 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

[Quoted text hidden]